

SERVICE COMPLAINT CASE SUMMARY 6

RAF SERVICE COMPLAINT (SC) – TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE (TACOS)

FINAL DECISION LETTER ISSUED – JUNE 17

DECISION BY DECISION BODY

No Appeal

Complaint

An RAF Regular Wg Cdr submitted a SC to the Commanding Officer alleging dishonest or biased behaviour by his previous Career Manager as he did not inform the Wg Cdr that the post he currently filled did not have a Recruitment and Retention Payment (Flying) (RRP(F)) marker associated with it. Lack of (RRP(F)) marker means that the (RRP(F)) he received reduces after 2 years in post and that, had he known in advance of the assignment, he would not have accepted it.

The SC is deemed **Admissible** by the Commanding Officer and investigated accordingly.

Investigation

On investigation the Decision Body confirms that given the pressure on (RRP(F)) posts in recent years it would have been sensible to confirm this assumption. It is incumbent on a Wg Cdr to satisfy themselves of all implications in accepting an assignment. The Case File makes clear that the post filled has never had the (RRP(F)) marker associated with it.

Decision

The Decision Body did **Not Uphold** this Service Complaint.

Service Complaints Ombudsman for the Armed Forces (SCOAF)

Involvement: No

DETERMINATION BY APPEAL BODY